The bill which I have introduced today is the result of those hearings and of lengthy consideration by the subcommittee following the hearings. It has been carefully drawn, with the aid and assistance of theate executive branch as well as the legislative, and it represents the first comprehensive review of the Foundation which has been made by the Congress since the Foundation was formed 18 years ago.

This bill has the strong support of the subcommittee, although it is not itself been taken up before the full committee. I believe it is important to note that the subcommittee's report leading to this bill did receive the unanimous backing of the full Committee on Science and Astronautics which voted to refer it as a House report on January 18, 1966.

We have scheduled hearings on the bill which are to begin on April 18 and have confidence that it will receive the bipartisan support of the full committee on Science and Astronautics.

The importance of the Foundation's work to the economic, cultural, and scientific status of this country, its economy and its security, as well as its cultural status, can scarcely be overemphasized. I strongly urge every member of the House to give his attention and support to this legislation.

STATEMENT OF HON. ABRAHAM J. MULTER IN SUPPORT OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 78 TO PROVIDE A 4-YEAR TERM FOR MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. MATSUNAGA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from New York (Mr. Multer) may extend his remarks to the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Hawaii?

There was no objection.

Mr. MULTER. Mr. Speaker, today I submitted the following statement to the House Judiciary Committee in support of my resolution, House Joint Resolution 78, to amend the Constitution to provide a 4-year term for Members of the House of Representatives:

STATEMENT OF HON. ABRAHAM J. MULTER TO JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, FAVOR OF HOUSE OR REPRESENTATIVES, IN SUPPORT OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 78, TO AMEND THE CONSTITUTION TO PROVIDE A 4-YEAR TERM FOR MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentlewoman from Mississippi [Mrs. SULLIVAN] may extend her remarks to the Record and include extraneous matter.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. Roerwarowski) may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.
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This enlightening editorial on a matter of such grave concern is offered for the Recons, in the belief that others may study its contents with benefit:

[From the San Francisco (Calif.) Examiner, March 11, 1966]

**MAJOR MOVE**

President Johnson has taken logical action in urging Congress to create a Department of Transportation to unify and coordinate the new agencies, activities and problems associated with the movement of persons and goods. Travel by horseback and stagecoach is no longer the mode. We have become the mobile country in history.

With our immense development in transportation by land, air and water, the complexities have become numerous and varied. Integration is essential to dissolve the major problems and bring method and system to transportation. It is a national interest of high priority.

This is the intent of President Johnson’s dramatic and forward-looking proposals. They embrace virtually all types of travel and shipment. And they seek to tie together the many government agencies operating independently of each other, though all are concerned with the common problem of transportation.

**Special stress is placed by the President on safety. He is particularly concerned about highway carnage. The Hearst newspapers, long and continuously active in furthering proposals to reduce the terrible traffic toll, are glad the President has given heavy emphasis to this problem. Mr. Johnson gives industry an opportunity for further development of safety devices. In the event its efforts seem, after two years, to be inadequate, Federal standardization would be imposed.**

There now exists a confusion of non-standardization in highway facilities of even such simplicities as signs or one drives from state to state. This item alone illustrates the current lack of any national direction.

The emphasis on highway safety is strikingly important at a time when the Nation has been agonized by a death toll of 49,000 in one year.

**THE NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION ACT PROGRAM SHOULD BE EXPANDED**

Mr. MATSUNAGA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from California (Mr. Burton) may extend his remarks at this point in the Record and include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Hawaii?

There was no objection.

Mr. TUNNEY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to vigorously protest the proposed cutback in title III of the National Defense Education Act. The administration proposes an appropriation of $155 million to continue National Defense Education Act loans through the 1967 fiscal year.

I would like to urge this committee to not only restore the proposed cuts but to expand the National Defense Education Act program.

The justification for such a cut is based primarily on the erroneous premise that specialized equipment is now eligible for purchase under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965. Even though some instructional items do qualify, under certain condi-