

**FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE HISTORIES
A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY OF LAW JOURNAL ARTICLES**

**Compiled by Richard J. McKinney, Federal Reserve Board Law Library
Last updated, December 2007**

Most all of the following journal citations are available on the electronic subscription services of either LexisNexis or Westlaw and accessible by just typing in the citation. Most of the articles are also available on HeinOnline.

Barrett, Jesse M. Note: Legislative History, the Neutral, Dispassionate Judge, and Legislative Supremacy: Preserving the Latter Ideals Through the Former Tool. 73 Notre Dame L. Rev. 819 (1998).

Barker, William B. Statutory Interpretation, Comparative Law, and Economic Theory: Discovering the Grund of Income Taxation. 40 San Diego L. Rev. 821-880 (2003).

Bassett, Debra Lyn. Statutory Interpretation in the Context of Federal Jurisdiction. 76 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 52-98 (2007).

Bell, Bernard W. Article: Legislative History Without Legislative Intent: The Public Justification Approach to Statutory Interpretation. 60 Ohio St. L.J. 1 (1999).

Braunig, Lindsay Traylor. Note. Statutory interpretation in a choice of law context. 80 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1050-1078 (2005).

Breyer, Stephen. The 1991 Justice Lester W. Roth Lecture: On the Uses of Legislative History in Interpreting Statutes. 65 S. Cal. L. Rev. 845. (1992).

Bryant, Christopher and Timothy J. Simeone. Remanding to Congress: the Supreme Court's New "On the Record" Constitutional Review of Federal Statutes. 86 Cornell L. Rev. 328 (2001).

Buck, Stuart. Salerno vs. Chevron: What to Do About Statutory Challenges. 55 Admin. L. Rev. 427-476 (2003).

Buzbee, William W. The One-Congress Fiction in Statutory Interpretation. 149 U. Pa. L. Rev. 171 (2000).

Caiola, Eugene P. Comment. Retroactive Legislative History: Scierter Under the Uniform Security Litigation Standards Act of 1998. 64 Alb. L. Rev. 309 (2000).

Coco, Nathan F. Comment: Has Legislative History Become History?: A Critical Examination of *Central Bank of Denver, N.A. v. First Interstate Bank of Denver, N.A.* 20 Iowa J. Corp. L. 555 (1995).

Colloquy on “The Use of Legislative History in a System of Separated Powers” by Jonathan R. Siegel; response by John F. Manning, reply by Jonathan R. Siegel. 53 Vand. L. Rev. 1457 (2000).

Cooney, John F. Signing Statements: A Practical Analysis of the ABA Task Force Report. 59 Admin. L. Rev. 647-672 (2007).

Corrigan, Hon. Maura D. and J. Michael Thomas. "Dice Loading" Rules of Statutory Interpretation. 59 N.Y.U. Ann. Surv. Am. L. 231 (2003).

Corrington, John. Note: *Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth*: “Whole-Cloth Creation” or Manifestation of Congressional Intent?. 27 Pepp. L. Rev. 175 (1999).

Costello, George A. Article: Average Voting Members and Others “Benign Fictions”: The Relative Reliability of Committee Reports, Floor Debates, and Other Sources of Legislative History. 1990 Duke L.J. 39 (1990).

Cross, Frank B. The Significance of Statutory Interpretive Methodologies. 82 Notre Dame L. Rev. 1971-2004 (2007).

Davis, Bart M., Kate Kelly and Kristin Ford. Use of Legislative History: Willow Witching for Legislative Intent. 43 Idaho L. Rev. 585-600 (2007).

Dessayer, Kathryn Marie. Note: The First Word: The President’s Place in “Legislative History”. 89 Mich. L. Rev. 399 (1990).

Devins, Neal E. Eighteenth Annual Administrative Law Issue: Conference on Statutory Interpretation: The Role of Legislative History in Judicial Interpretation: A Discussion Between Judge Kenneth W. Starr and Judge Abner J. Mikva: Article: Regulation of Government Agencies Through Limitation Riders. 1987 Duke L.J. 456 (1987).

Dickerson, Reed. Symposium: The Legislative Process: Statutory Interpretation: Dipping Into Legislative History. 11 Hofstra L. Rev. 1125 (1983).

Doran, David D. Comment: Equitable Tolling of Statutory Benefit Time Limitations: A Congressional Intent Analysis. 64 Wash. L. Rev. 681 (1989).

Dortzbach, Kenneth R. Article: Legislative History: The Philosophies of Justices Scalia and Breyer and the Use of Legislative History by the Wisconsin State Courts. 80 Marq. L. Rev. 161 (1996).

Easterbrook, Frank H. Symposium on Statutory Interpretation: What Does Legislative History Tell Us? 66 Chi.-Kent. L. Rev. 441 (1990).

Elhauge, Einer. Preference-Eliciting Statutory Default Rules. Colum. L. Rev. 2162 (2002).

Elhauge, Einer. Preference-Estimating Statutory Default Rules. *Colum. L. Rev.* 2027 (2002).

Engel, Anthony L. Note. Questionable Uses of Canons of Statutory Interpretation: Why the Supreme Court Erred When It Decided "Any" Other Means "Some". (*Small v. United States*, 125 S. Ct. 1752, 2005.) *96 J. Crim. L. & Criminology* 877-909 (2006).

Epstein, Lee, Nancy Staudt and Peter Wiedenbeck. Judging Statutes: Thoughts on Statutory Interpretation and Notes for a Project on the Internal Revenue Code. *13 Wash. U. J.L. & Pol'y* 305-323 (2003).

Eskridge, William N., Jr. All About Words: Early Understandings Of The "Judicial Power" In Statutory Interpretation, 1776-1806. *101 Colum. L. Rev.* 990 (2001).

Eskridge, William N., Jr. Legislative History Values. (Symposium on Statutory Interpretation). *66 Chi.-Kent. L. Rev.* 365 (1990).

Eskridge, William N., Jr. Textualism and Original Understanding: Should the Supreme Court Read the Federalist But not Statutory Legislative History? *66 Geo. Wash. L. Rev.* 1301 (1998).

Farber, Daniel A. Do Theories of Statutory Interpretation Matter? A Case Study. *94 Nw. U. L. Rev.* 1409 (2000).

Farber, Daniel A. and Philip P. Frickey. Symposium on the Theory of Public Choice: Legislative Intent and Public Choice. *74 Va. L. Rev.* 423 (1988).

Giles, Allison C. Note & Comment: The Value of Nonlegislators' Contributions to Legislative History. *79 Geo. L.J.* 359 (1990).

Goodman, Maxine D. Reconstructing the Plain Language Rule of Statutory Construction: How and Why. *65 Mont. L. Rev.* 229-268 (2004).

Grabow, John C. Article: Congressional Silence and the Search for Legislative Intent: A Venture Into "Speculative Unrealities". *64 B.U.L. Rev.* 737 (1984).

Grassley, Senator Charles and Jennifer Shaw Schmidt. Policy Essay: Practicing What We Preach: A Legislative History of Congressional Accountability. *35 Harv. J. on Legis.* 33 (1998).

Gregory, Robert J. Overcoming Text in an Age of Textualism: A Practitioner's Guide to Arguing Cases of Statutory Interpretation. *35 Akron L. Rev.* 451-489 (2002).

Greene, Abner S. The Missing Step of Textualism. *74 Fordham L. Rev.* 1913-1936 (2006).

Grunfest, Joseph A. and A.C. Pritchard. Statutes with Multiple Personality Disorders: The Value of Ambiguity in Statutory Design and Interpretation. *54 Stan. L. Rev.* 627 (2002).

Hardy, I. T. General Article: Creating an Expert System for Legislative History Research: Project Clear's "Lexpert". 85 Law Libr. J. 239 (1993).

Hasen, Richard L. Bad Legislative Intent. 2006 Wis L. Rev. 843-896 (2006).

Healy, Michael P. The Attraction and Limits of Textualism: The Supreme Court Decision in PUD No. 1 of *Jefferson County v. Washington Department of Ecology* (Statutory Interpretation and Environmental Law). 5 N.Y.U. Envtl. L.J. 382 (1996).

Healy, Michael P. Article: Legislative Intent and Statutory Interpretation in England and the United States: An Assessment of the Impact of *Pepper v. Hart*. 35 Stan. J. Int'l L. 231 (1999).

Healy, Michael P. Spurious Interpretation Redux: Mead and the Shrinking Domain of Statutory Ambiguity. 54 Admin. L. Rev. 673-686 (2002).

Heath, Edward. Essay: How Federal Judges Use Legislative History. 25 J. Legis. 95 (1999).

Herman, Bill D. and Oscar H. Gandy, Jr. Catch 1201: A Legislative History and Content Analysis of the DMCA Exemption Proceedings. 24 Cardozo Arts & Ent. L.J. 121-190 (2006).

Hutchens, J.T. A New New Textualism: Why Textualists Should Not Be Originalists. 16 Kan. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 108-128 (2006-2007).

Imwinkelried, Edward J. A more modest proposal than A Common Law for the Age of Statutes: greater reliance in statutory interpretation on the concept of interpretative intention. 68 Alb. L. Rev. 949-971 (2005).

Jacobstein, J. Myron and Roy M. Mersky. General Article: Congressional Intent and Legislative Histories: Analysis or Psychoanalysis? 82 Law Libr. J. 297 (1990).

Jordan, William S. Article: Legislative History and Statutory Interpretation: The Relevance of English Practice. 29 U.S.F.L. Rev. 1 (1994).

Kertesz, Geoffrey C. Note. And Then Along Came John: Federal Statutory Interpretation in Contravention of State Law Violates Principles of Federalism. 36 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1651-1675 (2003).

Killebrew, Pau. Where Are All the Left-Wing Textualists? 82 NYU L. Rev. 1895-1928 (2007).

Killenbeck, Mark R. Article: A Matter of Mere Approval? The Role of the President in the Creation of Legislative History. 48 Ark. L. Rev. 239 (1995).

Kiracofe, Adam W. Note. The Codified Canons of Statutory Construction: a Response and Proposal to Nicholas Rosenkranz's Federal Rules of Statutory Interpretation. 84 B.U. L. Rev. 571-607 (2004).

Klee, Kenneth N. Article: Ignoring Congressional Intent: Eight Years of Judicial Legislation. 62 Am. Bankr. L.J. 1 (1988).

Koby, Michael H. Article: The Supreme Court's Declining Reliance on Legislative History: The Impact of Justice Scalia's Critique. 36 Harv. J. on Legis. 369 (1999).

Kozinski, Honorable Alex. Article: Should Reading Legislative History Be an Impeachable Offense? 31 Suff. U.L. Rev. 807 (1998).

Liebs, Elizabeth A. Comment. Censoring Legislative History: Justice Scalia on the Use of Legislative History in Statutory Interpretation. 72 Neb. L. Rev. 568 (1993).

Livingston, Michael. Article: Congress, the Courts, and the Code: Legislative History and the Interpretation of Tax Statutes. 69 Tex. L. Rev. 819 (1991).

Lumia, Dennis C. Casenote. An Agency's Volte-Face Statutory Interpretation--When Do Courts Stop Deferring and Start Judicial Interpretation? (Rag Cumberland v. DEP, 869 A.2d 1065, Pa. Commw. Ct. 2005.) 18 Vill. Envtl. L.J. 285-306 (2007).

Lunenburg, William V. Justice Rehnquist, Statutory Interpretation, the Policies of Clear Statement, and Federal Jurisdiction. 58 Ind. L. Rev. 211 (1983).

Lynch, Michael J. The U.S. Code, the Statutes at Large, and Some Peculiarities of Codification. 16 Legal Ref. Serv. Quar. 69 (1997).

Manning, John F. Competing Presumptions About Statutory Coherence. 74 Fordham L. Rev. 2009-2050 (2006).

Manning, John F. Response: Deriving Rules of Statutory Interpretation from the Constitution. 101 Colum. L. Rev. 1648 (2001).

Manning, John F. Textualism and Equity of the Statute. 101 Colum. L. Rev. 1 (2001).

Manning, John F. Textualism and Legislative Intent. 91 Va. L. Rev. 419-450 (2005).

Manning, John F. What Divides Textualists from Purposivists? 106 Colum. L. Rev. 70-111 (2006).

Margeton, Stephen G. Of Legislative Histories and Librarians. 85 Law Libr. J. 81 (1993).

Mashaw, Jerry L. Norms, Practices, and the Paradox of Deference: A Preliminary Inquiry into Agency Statutory Interpretation. 57 Admin. L. Rev. 501-542 (2005).

Mayton, William T. Article: Law Among the Pleonasms: The Futility and Aconstituionality of Legislative History in Statutory Interpretation. 41 Emory L.J. 113 (1992).

McCubbins, Mathew D. and Daniel B. Rodriguez. What Is New in the New Statutory Interpretation? Introduction to The Journal of Legal Issues Symposium. 14 J. Contemp. Legal Issues 535-547 (2005).

McGreal, Paul E. A Constitutional Defense of Legislative History. 13 Wm. & Mary Bill Rts. J. 1241-1273 (2005).

McLeod, Ian. Literal and Purposive Techniques of Legislative Interpretation: Some European Community and English Common Law Perspectives. 29 Brook. J. Int'l L. 1109-1134 (2004).

McManus, Ryan. Note. Sitting in Congress and Standing in Court: How Presidential Signing Statements Open the Door to Legislator Lawsuits. 48 B.C. L. Rev. 739-780 (2007).

Mikva, Abner J. Eighteenth Annual Administrative Law Issue: Conference on Statutory Interpretation: The Role of Legislative History in Judicial Interpretation: A Discussion Between Judge Kenneth W. Starr and Judge Abner J. Mikva: A Reply to Judge Starr's Observations. 1987 Duke L.J. 380 (1987).

Molot, Jonathan T. The Rise and Fall of Textualism. 106 Colum. L. Rev. 1-69 (2006).

Mullins, Morell E., Sr. Coming to Terms with Strict and Liberal Construction. 64 Alb. L. Rev. 9 (2000).

Nagle, John Copeland. The Worst Statutory Interpretation Case in History. (Reviewing William D. Popkin. *Statutes in Court: The History and Theory of Statutory Interpretation*.) 94 Nw. U. L. Rev. 1445 (2000).

Nelson, Caleb. A Response to Professor Manning. 91 Va. L. Rev. 451-470 (2005).

Nelson, Caleb. Statutory Interpretation and Decision Theory. (Book review. Reviewing Andrian Vermeule, *Judging Under Uncertainty: An Institutional Theory of Legal Interpretation*.) 74 U. Chi. L. Rev. 329-368 (2007).

Nelson, Caleb. What is Textualism? 91 Va. L. Rev. 347-418 (2005).

Nimmer, David. Appreciating Legislative History: The Sweet and Sour Spots of the DMCA's Commentary. 23 Cardozo L. Rev. 909-990 (2002).

Noah, Lars. Article: Divining Regulatory Intent: The Place for a "Legislative History" of Agency Rules. 51 Hastings L.J. 255 (2000).

Neuborne, Burt. Background Norms for Federal Statutory Interpretation. (Symposium: The Federal Court Docket; Issues and Solutions). 22 Conn. L. Rev. 721 (1990).

Note: Why Learned Hand Would Never Consult Legislative History Today. 105 Harv. L. Rev. 1005. (1992).

O'Connor, Gary E. Restatement (First) of Statutory Interpretation. 7 N.Y.U. J. Legis. & Pub. Pol'y 333-364 (2004).

Paolini, Angela J. Note: Administrative Law – Supreme Court Defers to Congressional Intent in Social Security Disability Benefit Delays. 60 Tul. L. Rev. 205 (1985).

Parnagian, Christopher P. Comments: *New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation v. Perales*: Unclear Congressional Intent, Permissible Agency Interpretation. 67 St. John's L. Rev. 105 (1993).

Peabody, Bruce G. Congressional Constitutional Interpretation and the Courts: A Preliminary Inquiry into Legislative Attitudes, 1959-2001. 29 Law & Soc. Inquiry 127-175 (2004).

Popkin, William D. Article: Judicial Use of Presidential Legislative History: A Critique. 66 Ind. L.J. 699 (1991).

Posner, Richard A. Reply: The Institutional Dimension of Statutory and Constitutional Interpretation. 101 Mich. L. Rev. 952 (2003).

Price, Zachary. The rule of Lenity as a Rule of Structure. 72 Fordham L. Rev. 885-941 (2004).

Quinn, Randall W. The Supreme Court's Use of Legislative History in Interpreting the Federal Securities Laws. 22 Securities Reg. L.J. 262 (1994).

Rodriguez, Daniel B. and Barry R. Weigast. The Paradox of Expansionist Statutory Interpretations. 101 Nw. U. L. Rev. 1207-1256 (2007).

Rodriguez, Daniel B. and Barry R. Weigast. The Positive Political Theory of Legislative History: New Perspectives on the 1964 Civil Rights Act and its Interpretation. 151 U. Penn. L. Rev. 1417 (2003).

Rogers, George R. Comment: Legislative Intent vs. Executive Non-enforcement: A New Bounty Statute as a Solution to Executive Usurpation of Congressional Power. 69 Ind. L.J. 1257 (1994).

Rosenkraz, Nicholas Quinn. Federal Rules of Statutory Interpretation. 115 Harv. L. Rev. 2085-2157 (2002).

Schacter, Jane S. Article: The Confounding Common Law Originalism in Recent Supreme Court Statutory Interpretation: Implications for the Legislative History Debate and Beyond. 51 Stan. L. Rev. 1 (1998).

Schanck, Peter C. The Uses and Values of Legislative Histories: A Reply. 82 Law Libr. J. 303 (1990).

Schanck, Peter C. The Only Game in Town: Contemporary Interpretive Theory, Statutory Construction, and Legislative Histories. 82 Law Libr. J. 419 (1990).

Scheef, Robert W. Temporal Dynamics in Statutory Interpretation: Courts, Congress, and the Canon of Constitutional Avoidance. 64 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 529-587 (2003).

Schuld, Michelle. Note. Statutory Misrepresentation: *Small v. United States* Darkens the Already Murky Waters of Statutory Interpretation. (*Small v. United States*, 544 U.S. 385, 2005.) 40 Akron L. Rev. 751-788 (2007).

Schwartz, Jack. Article: The Court of Appeals at the Cocktail Party: The Use and Misuse of Legislative History. 54 Md. L. Rev. 432 (1995).

Seegmiller, Travis M. Note. The New Gray Area for the "Blue Book" after *Robinson v. Commissioner*: Twelve Factors to Keep in Mind When Using the Blue Book as a Tool of Statutory Interpretation. (*Robinson v. Commissioner*, 119 T.C. 44, 2002.) 57 Tax Law. 833-844 (2004).

Shapiro, Sidney A. Eighteenth Annual Administrative Law Issue: Conference on Statutory Interpretation: The Role of Legislative History in Judicial Interpretation: A Discussion Between Judge Kenneth W. Starr and Judge Abner J. Mikva: Article: Heightened Scrutiny of the Fourth Branch: Separation of Powers and the Requirement of Adequate Reasons for Agency Decisions. 1987 Duke L.J. 387 (1987).

Sinclair, M. B. W. Review Essay: Legislative Intent: Fact or Fabrication? 41 N.Y.L. Sch. L. Rev. 1329 (1997).

Sinclair, Michael. The Proper Treatment of "Interpretive Choice" in Statutory Decision-Making. 45 N.Y.L. Sch. L. Rev. 389 (2002).

Slawson, W. David. Legislative History and the Need to Bring Statutory Interpretation under the Rule of Law. 44 Stan. L. Rev. 383 (1992).

Smith, Laura R. Comment: The Battle Between Plain Meaning and Legislative History: Which Will Decide the Standard for Pleading Scienter After the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995? 39 Santa Clara L. Rev. 577 (1999).

Snyder, Fritz. Article: Legislative History and Statutory Interpretation: The Supreme Court and the Tenth Circuit. 49 Okla. L. Rev. 573 (1996).

Solan, Lawrence M. Jurors as Statutory Interpreters. 78 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 1281-1318 (2003).

Solan, Lawrence M. Private Language, Public Laws: The Central Role of Legislative Intent in Statutory Interpretation. 93 Geo. L. J. 427-486 (2005).

Southerland, Harold P. Theory and Reality in Statutory Interpretation. 15 St. Thomas L. Rev. 1-59 (2002).

Stabile, Susan J. Article: The Role of Congressional Intent in Determining the Existence of Implied Private Rights of Action. 71 Notre Dame L. Rev. 861 (1996).

Starr, Kenneth W. Eighteenth Annual Administrative Law Issue: Conference on Statutory Interpretation: The Role of Legislative History in Judicial Interpretation: A Discussion Between Judge Kenneth W. Starr and Judge Abner J. Mikva: Observations About the Use of Legislative History. 1987 Duke L.J. 371 (1987).

Staszewski, Glen. Avoiding Absurdity (in statutory interpretation) . 81 Ind. L.J. 1001-1065 (2006).

Steinman, Adam N. "Less" Is "More"? Textualism, Intentionalism, and a Better Solution to the Class Action Fairness Act's Appellate Deadline Riddle. 92 Iowa L. Rev. 1183-1236 (2007).

Stephenson, Matthew C. Legislative Allocation of Delegated Power: Uncertainty, Risk, and the Choice Between Agencies and Courts. 119 Harv. L. Rev. 1035-1070 (2006).

Strauss, Peter L. Symposium on Statutory Interpretation: When the Judge Is Not the Primary Official With Responsibility to Read: Agency Interpretation and the Problem of Legislative History. 66 Chi.-Kent. L. Rev. 321 (1990).

Sullivan, Marquerite M. Notes & Comments: *Brown & Williamson v. FDA*: Finding Congressional Intent Through Creative Statutory Interpretation – A Departure From Chevron. 94 NW. U.L. Rev. 273 (1999).

Sunstein, Cass R. and Adrian Vermeule. Interpretation and Institutions. 101 Mich. L. Rev. 885 (2003). See Posner in reply.

Sunstein Cass R. and Adrian Vermeule. Interpretive Theory in Its Infancy: A Reply to Posner. 101 Mich. L. Rev. 972 (2003).

Terrell, Timothy P. Statutory Epistemology: Mapping the Interpretation Debate. 53 Emory L.J. 523-559 (2004).

Tiefer, Charles. Articles: The Reconceptualization of Legislative History of the Supreme Court. 2000 Wis. L. Rev. 205 (2000).

Vaughn, Robert G. Article: A Comparative Analysis of the Influence of Legislative History on Judicial Decision-Making and Legislation. 7 Ind. Int'l & Comp. L. Rev. 1 (1996)

Vermeule, Adrian. The Cycles of Statutory Interpretation. 68 U. Chi. L. Rev. 149 (2001).

VerSteeg, Russ. Article: Sparks in the Tinderbox: Feist, "Creativity," and the Legislative History of the 1976 Copyright Act. 56 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 549 (1995).

Wald, Patricia M. Article: The Sizzling Sleeper: The Use of Legislative History in Construing Statutes in the 1988-89 Term of the United States Supreme Court. 39 Am. U.L. Rev. 277 (1990).

Walker, Melanie E. Comment: Congressional Intent and Deference to Agency Interpretations of Regulations. 66 U. Chi. L. Rev. 1341 (1999).

Williams, Stephen F. Textualism and Original Understanding: Restoring Context, Distorting Text: Legislative History and the Problem of Age. 66 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 1366 (1998).

Wise, Michael J. Note: Court Disregards Legislative History of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 and Bucks Current Trends Regarding Punitive Damages: *Kolstad v. American Dental Ass'n*, 108 F.3d 1431 (D.C. Cir. 1996). 23 Dayton L. Rev. 643 (1998).

Witty, Peter N. Notes: Plain Language, Congressional Intent, and Common Sense. 71 Notre Dame L. Rev. 799 (1996).

Wright, Abby. Comment. For All Intents and Purposes: What Collective Intention Tells Us About Congress and Statutory Interpretation. 154 U. Pa. L. Rev. 983-1024 (2006).

Yoo, John Choon. Marshall's Plan: The Early Supreme Court and Statutory Interpretation. 101 Yale L. J. 1607 (1992).

Zahn, Thomas E. Casenote. Settled No More: An Administrative Agency May Overturn Prior Judicial Interpretation of a Statute Within Its Jurisdiction So Long as the Statutory Language is Ambiguous. (National Cable & Telecommunications Association, et al. v. Brand X Internet Services et al., 125 S. Ct. 2688, 2005.) 8 Duq. Bus. L.J. 143-167 (2006).

Zeppos, Nicholas S. Article: Legislative History and the Interpretation of Statutes: Toward a Fact-Finding Model of Statutory Interpretation. 76 Va. L. Rev. 1295 (1990).